GOVOROV-LAZARD TYPE THEOREMS AND COMPLETE BIG COHEN-MACAULAY MODULES

TSUTOMU NAKAMURA

This talk is based on [4]. Let A be a ring and denote by $\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} A$ (resp. $\operatorname{\mathsf{mod}} A$) the category of (right) A-modules (resp. finitely presented A-modules). Let $\mathcal C$ be an additive subcategory of $\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} A$ closed under direct limits. In general, it is a delicate problem whether every module in $\mathcal C$ can be presented as a direct limit of modules in $\mathcal C \cap \operatorname{\mathsf{mod}} A$. If this is possible, we say that a $\operatorname{\mathsf{Govorov-Lazard\ type\ theorem\ holds}$ for $\mathcal C$, and write

$$\underline{\lim}(\mathcal{C}\cap\operatorname{mod} A)=\mathcal{C}.$$

For example, we have $\varinjlim \operatorname{\mathsf{mod}} A = \operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}} A$ and $\varinjlim \operatorname{\mathsf{proj}} A = \operatorname{\mathsf{Flat}} A$, where $\operatorname{\mathsf{Flat}} A$ (resp. $\operatorname{\mathsf{proj}} A$) denotes the category of flat (resp. finitely generated projective) A-modules. The second equality is due to Govorov (1965) and Lazard (1969). Holm–Jørgensen [2] proved that a Govorov–Lazard type theorem may not hold for the category of Gorenstein-flat modules.

Let R be a commutative noetherian local ring. An R-module M is called (balanced) big Cohen-Macaulay if every system of parameters of R is an M-regular sequence. We call an R-module M a weak big Cohen-Macaulay if every system of parameters of R is a weak M-regular sequence. We denote by WCM R the category of weak big CM modules. Then

$$WCM R \cap mod R = CM R$$
,

where the right-hand side denotes the category of (maximal) Cohen–Macaulay modules. Holm [1] proved that $\varinjlim \operatorname{CM} R = \operatorname{WCM} R$ holds for every CM (=Cohen–Macaulay) local ring R with a canonical module. Our first result extends this to orders over a CM local ring R with a canonical module. Recall that a module-finite R-algebra A is called an R-order if A is CM as an R-module. We denote by CM A (resp. WCM A) the category of A-modules being CM (resp. weak big CM) as R-modules.

Theorem 1. Let R be a CM local ring with a canonical module and let A be an R-order. Then $\varinjlim CM A = WCM A$.

It is well known that every pure-injective module over a finite-dimensional algebra is a direct summand of a direct product of finitely presented modules. By Theorem 1, we can generalize this fact to complete orders.

Corollary 1. Let R and A be as above and assume R is complete. Then every pure-injective complete big CM module is a direct summand of a direct product of CM modules.

If R is not CM, there would be little hope that we could have $\varinjlim \mathsf{CM}\,R = \mathsf{WCM}\,R$. This impression comes from difficulty of the small CM conjecture. Instead, we give another formulation.

We assume that R is a homomorphic image of a CM local ring, and use the *Cohen–Macaulay heart* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{CM}}$ of R introduced in [3]. This is the heart of some compactly generated generated t-structure in the derived category $\mathsf{D}(R)$. This $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{CM}}$ is a Grothendieck category with some nice properties. In particular, the Govorov–Lazard type theorem holds for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{CM}}$ in the following sense: Every object in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{CM}}$ is a direct limit of finitely presented objects in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{CM}}$, that is,

$$\lim \operatorname{fp}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{CM}}) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{CM}},$$

where $fp(\mathcal{H}_{CM})$ denotes the subcategory of finitely presented objects in \mathcal{H}_{CM} . This fact enables us to show the following result.

Proposition 1. Let R be a homomorphic image of a CM local ring. Then every weak big CM module is a direct limit of finitely presented objects in \mathcal{H}_{CM} .

Remark 1. If R admits a dualizing complex D (such that $\inf\{i \mid H^i(D) \neq 0\} = 0$), there is an equivalence

$$\operatorname{RHom}_R(-,D): (\operatorname{\mathsf{mod}} R)^{\operatorname{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{fp}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{CM}}),$$

where $fp(\mathcal{H}_{CM})$ denotes the subcategory of finitely presented objects in \mathcal{H}_{CM} .

Proposition 1 promises that a similar result to Corollary 1 holds for non-CM case. In fact, we can show the following result without the proposition. Recall that a module M over a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) is called *derived complete* if the canonical morphism $M \to L\Lambda^{\mathfrak{m}} M$ is an isomorphism in D(R), where $\Lambda^{\mathfrak{m}} = \varprojlim_{n>1} (-\otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}^n)$.

Theorem 2. Let R be a complete noetherian local ring. Then every pure-injective derived complete big CM module is a direct summand of a direct product of finitely generated R-module. More generally, every derived complete big CM module is a submodule of a direct product of finitely generated R-module.

Corollary 2. Let R be a complete noetherian local ring. A big CM R-module is complete if and only if it is derived complete.

References

- Henrik Holm, The structure of balanced big Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings, Glasg. Math. J. 59 (2017), no. 3, 549-561.
- [2] Henrik Holm and Peter Jørgensen, Rings without a Gorenstein analogue of the Govorov-Lazard theorem, Q. J. Math. 62 (2011), no. 4, 977-988.
- [3] M. Hrbek, T. Nakamura, and Jan Štovíček, Tilting complexes and codimension functions over commutative noetherian rings, arXiv:2207.01309.
- [4] T. Nakamura, Purity, cotorsion pairs, and big Cohen-Macaulay modules, in preparation.

(Tsutomu Nakamura) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Mie University, 1577 Kurimamachiyacho, Tsu city, Mie, 514-8507, JAPAN

 $Email\ address{:}\ {\tt t.nakamura.math@gmail.com}$